

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,888	0	8/26/2003	Hitoshi Ueda	03514/LH	7834
1933	7590	05/01/2006		EXAM	INER
FRISHAUI 220 Fifth Av	•	, GOODMAN &	PIZIALI, JI	PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
16TH Floor				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	IEW YORK, NY 10001-7708			2629	
				DATE MAILED: 05/01/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/649,888	UEDA, HITOSHI	:
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jeff Piziali	2629	:
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re- will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' e, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				•
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F	ebruary 2006		
		s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	:
•	closed in accordance with the practice under t	•	• •	
Dienociti	on of Claims			
·				:
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement		•
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> is/a		·	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11\	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· ·	i).
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	•
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			:
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	-		•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage	
* 6	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not i	eceived.	:
A44	W-1			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	م م. الم	umman (PTO 442)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of In 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	٠

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Powers et al (US 5,469,540 A).

Regarding claim 1, Powers discloses a region selection device [Fig. 1; 48] which selects one region [Fig. 25; 310] from among a plurality of regions [Fig. 25; 310, 312, 314] displayed on a display screen [Fig. 1; 68], said device comprising: coordinate input means [Fig. 1; 42] for inputting coordinate information [Fig. 25; 44] to the display screen; a region table [Fig. 1; 55]

Art Unit: 2629

which stores attributes of the plurality of regions [i.e. window layer ordering, active window, and inactive window information, for instance]; display means [Fig. 1; 40] for displaying the plurality of regions on the display screen in accordance with the attributes stored in the region table (see Column 6, Line 54 - Column 7, Line 19); region rearrangement means [Fig. 1; 42 & 46] for rearranging the plurality of regions on the display screen in accordance with at least one feature parameter [i.e. floating window attribute, for instance] thereof that is at least one of the attributes of the plurality of regions; and region selection means [Fig. 1; 46] for selecting a region [i.e. presentation window, for instance] by sequentially comparing a coordinate that is input via the coordinate input means with at least one of the regions rearranged by the region rearrangement means, when the regions displayed by the display screen lie on top of one another (see Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 29).

Regarding claim 2, Powers discloses the region table stores information [i.e. inactive window, for instance] indicating whether editing of a region [Fig. 25; 314] is prohibited as one of the attributes (see Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 29).

Regarding claim 3, Powers discloses the region selection means first decides whether a border [Fig. 2; 100] of a region is selected and then decides whether an inside [Fig. 2; 104] of the region is selected (see Column 7, Lines 23-52).

Regarding claim 4, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,888

Art Unit: 2629

Regarding claim 5, Powers discloses the at least one feature parameter comprises an area [i.e. a window portion, for instance] of each region (see Fig. 25; Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 6).

Regarding claim 6, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 2.

Regarding claim 7, Powers discloses the at least one feature parameter comprises a perimeter [i.e. a window border, for instance] of each region (see Fig. 25; Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 6).

Regarding claim 8, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 2.

Regarding claim 9, Powers discloses the at least one feature parameter comprises both an area and a perimeter [i.e. a window border, for instance] of each region (see Fig. 25; Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 6).

Regarding claim 10, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 2.

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 1.

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claims 1 and 11; furthermore, Powers discloses a computer readable storage medium having a program

Application/Control Number: 10/649,888

Art Unit: 2629

Page 5

stored thereon that is executable by a computer system to cause the computer system to execute a

process for selecting one region from among a plurality of regions displayed on a display screen,

said process executed by the computer (see Fig. 1; Column 5, Lines 5-51).

Regarding claim 13, Powers discloses the region selection means sequentially compares

the input coordinate with each of the regions until one of the regions is determined to be selected

(see Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 29).

Regarding claim 14, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 13.

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected by the reasoning applied in rejecting claim 13.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 16 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant contends the cited prior art of Powers et al (US 5,469,540 A) neglects teaching, "regions are automatically arranged in accordance with a feature parameter and a region is selected by comparing an input coordinate with at least one of the rearranged regions, when the regions displayed by the display screen lie on top of one another" (see Page 11, Paragraph 2 of the 'Amendment' filed on 16 February 2006). However, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Firstly, in response to applicant's argument that the reference fails to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "regions are *automatically* arranged in accordance with a feature parameter") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Secondly, Powers clearly does disclose a region rearrangement means [Fig. 1; 42 & 46] for rearranging the plurality of regions on the display screen in accordance with at least one feature parameter [i.e. floating window attribute, for instance] thereof that is at least one of the attributes of the plurality of regions; and region selection means [Fig. 1; 46] for selecting a region [i.e. presentation window, for instance] by sequentially comparing a coordinate that is input via the coordinate input means with at least one of the regions rearranged by the region rearrangement means, when the regions displayed by the display screen lie on top of one another (see Column 12, Line 56 - Column 13, Line 29). For example, Powers states, "To render the

Art Unit: 2629

underlying window [Fig. 25; 314] active, the user would place cursor [Fig. 25; 44] over some portion of window [Fig. 25; 314], and momentarily click switch [Fig. 1; 46]" (see Column 13, Lines 4-6).

By such reasoning, rejection of the claims is deemed necessary, proper, and thereby maintained at this time.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2629

The applicant is hereby notified that the examiner's art unit has recently changed from Art Unit 2673 to Art Unit 2629, please direct all future correspondence accordingly. Thank you.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J.P.

20 April 2006

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600